

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/632,101	Applicant(s) CIUFOLINI ET AL.
Examiner Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.		Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Rouget F. Henschel (Reg. No. 39,221).

Date of Interview: 24 April 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: 80

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:37pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

69, 83 and 94

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton/
Primary Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) remove reference to structure (e) in claim 69, line 2; (2) add a space after "(iv)" under the definition of R(7) in claim 83 (page 12, line 4 from top of page); and (3) add "pendant basic nitrogen" before "functionality" in claim 94 on page 18, sixth line from the bottom of the page.

/LLS/
4/24/2008